

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,249	12/20/2001	Gregory D. May	7000-209	9021
27820 7590 06/15/2005 WITHROW & TERRANOVA, P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
			WANG, QUAN ZHEN	
P.O. BOX 1287 CARY, NC 2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2633	
			DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/027,249	MAY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quan-Zhen Wang	2633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 February 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-18 and 20-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	6) Claim(s) 1-7,9-18 and 20-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-18 and 20-23</u> is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	atent Application (FTC+132)					

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "the output port" in lines 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. There are multiple "output ports" introduced before.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-7, 9-10, 12, 14-18, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Alexander et al. (U.S. Patent US 5,986,782).

Regarding claims 1 and 12, Alexander teaches an apparatus (fig. 2) for measuring optical signal power in an optical system (fig. 1), comprising: a wavelength selective switch (fig. 2, wavelength selective device 54) having output ports (fig. 2,

Art Unit: 2633

output from 54) to selectively pass a received optical signal (fig. 2, signal input from 52) to one of the output ports; and a power meter (fig. 2, power meter 56) which receives the optical signal from the output port and measures the power in the optical signal (column 3, lines 66-67 and column 4, lines 1-60).

Regarding claims 3 and 14, Alexander further teaches that the optical signals comprise different wavelengths of optical energy (fig. 2, λ 1- λ n).

Regarding claims 5 and 16, Alexander further teaches using a power splitter (optical tap) (fig. 2, tap 42) to divert a portion of the signal power from an incident signal.

Regarding claims 4 and 15, Alexander teaches diverting a portion of optical energy (fig. 2, tap 42) on an optical medium to obtain the optical signals.

Regarding claims 6 and 17, Alexander further teaches that the system comprises a DWDM system (fig. 2, $\lambda 1$ - λn).

Regarding claims 7 and 18, Alexander further teaches successively direct other ones of the optical signal through the wavelength select switch to the power meter and measuring in the other optical signals using the power meter (column 4, lines 22-29).

Regarding claim 9, Alexander further teaches to displaying an indication of the power in the optical signal (fig. 3).

Regarding claim 10, Alexander further teaches to determine if the power in the optical signal has crossed a predetermined threshold and trigger an alarm (fig. 2, local alarm 59) if the power in the optical signal has crossed the predetermined threshold.

Regarding claim 20, Alexander teaches an optical system (figs. 1 and 2) comprising: an optical medium (fig. 2, 40) which carries different wavelengths of optical

Art Unit: 2633

energy (fig. 2, λ1-λn), an optical tap (fig. 2, 42) which siphons the different wavelengths of optical energy from the optical medium, a wavelength selective switch (fig. 5, wavelength selective device 54) having output ports, which receives siphoned wavelengths of optical energy to one of the output ports; and a power meter (fig. 2, power meter 56) which receives at least one wavelength from the output port and which measures power in the at least one wavelength (column 3, lines 66-67 and column 4, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 21, Alexander teaches that the optical tap siphons only a portion of the wavelengths from the medium.

Regarding claim 22, Alexander teaches that the wavelength select switch passes, to another of the output ports, a wavelength that is not included in the at least one wavelength (fig. 2)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexander et al. (U.S. Patent US 5,986,782) in view of Prohaska (U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2002/0176658 A1).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 2 and claim 13, as it is understood in view of the above 112 problem, Alexander has been discussed above in regard with claims 1, 12, and 20. The system of Alexander differs from the claimed invention in that Alexander does not specifically teach that the wavelength selective switch passes a subset of the optical signals to one of the output ports at the same time and the power meter measures power in the subset of the optical signals. However, Alexander further teaches that the "wavelength select switch is any device or combination of devices which can take an incoming multiplexed optical signal and output plural optical signals having discrete wavelengths". Alexander further teaches another configuration of the system as an alternative choice (column 4, lines 22-60). Prohaska teaches a wavelength select switch (fig. 5) which can take an incoming multiplexed optical signal and output plural optical signals having discrete wavelengths. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to incorporate the wavelength select switch taught by Prohaska into the system of Alexander for the wavelength select switch and passes a subset of the optical signals to one of the output ports at the same time and the power meter measures power in the subset of the optical signals in order to have yet another alternative design choice.

Page 5

7. Claims 11 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexander et al. (U.S. Patent US 5,986,782) in view of Prohaska (U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2002/0176658 A1) and further in view of Fujiwara et al. (U.S. Patent US 5,274,496).

Regarding claims 11 and 23, the system of Alexander differs from the claimed invention in that Alexander does not specifically teach controlling an optical amplifier in accordance with the power of the optical signal to regulate optical power of the optical signals one the transmission medium. However, it is well known in the art to regulate an optical amplifier using signals tapped off at the output of the optical amplifier. For example, Fujiwara teaches to regulate an optical amplifier by the signals tapped near the output of the amplifier (fig. 3, combination of 3-9 and 13). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to incorporate the amplifier regulation circuitry taught by Fujiwara into the system of Alexander in order to control the gain of the optical amplifier.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 9-18, and 20-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quan-Zhen Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3114. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2633

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

qzw 6/7/05

> JASON CHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600